## PUBLIC COPY

## identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy



DZ

FILE:

SRC 03 127 52485

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: APR 2 6 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

**PETITION:** 

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

## ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director approved the nonimmigrant visa petition and certified her decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The director's decision will be overturned. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a nursing home and rehabilitation center that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a human resources coordinator/in-house healthcare coordinator. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 (a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

On certification, counsel states that the beneficiary will be employed in the Human Resources Department and not as a registered nurse. Counsel further states that the Department of Labor (DOL) in its *Occupational Outlook Handbook* (Handbook) finds that a human resources manager requires at least a bachelor's degree, and that a medical and health services manager requires a bachelor's degree or higher in a healthcare-related field. Counsel submits a university course description for the Human Resources Management program as further evidence that the proffered position requires at least a bachelor's degree.

Section 214(i)(l) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184 (i)(l), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's approval letter; and (3) counsel's certification documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a human resources manager/in-house healthcare coordinator. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes the I-129 petition and the petitioner's March 18, 2003 letter in support of the petition. According to this evidence, the beneficiary would perform duties that entail: developing, implementing, and monitoring policies, standards, and procedures for multi-disciplined in-house healthcare programs; coordinating daily operations and activities of health services staff, including medical, nursing, technical, clerical, and social services staff; evaluating and monitoring the implementation of medical treatment plans to resolve problems and to ensure compliance with health care principles and practices, professional service standards, and government restrictions and regulations; reviewing work performance of health services staff; conducting meetings to discuss changes in procedures; resolving problems, identifying staff training needs; and developing training programs. The petitioner indicated that a qualified candidate for the job would possess a bachelor's degree in a healthcare field such as nursing.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO turns first to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2 (h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree.

Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the *Handbook* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." *See Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D.Min. 1999)(quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Slattery*, 764 F. Supp. 872, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The AAO does not concur with counsel that the proffered position is that of a health services manager, an occupation that would normally require a master's degree in health services administration, long-term care administration, health sciences, public health, public administration, or business administration, or a bachelor's degree for some entry-level positions in smaller facilities and at the departmental level within healthcare organizations. None of the beneficiary's job duties entails the level of responsibility of that occupation. It is noted that, in this case, the beneficiary holds a baccalaureate degree in nursing.

The proposed duties are related to human resources. In its *Handbook*, 2004-2005 edition, the DOL finds no requirement of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty for employment as a human resources, training, and labor relations manager or specialist. Employers usually seek college graduates from a variety of educational backgrounds in filling entry-level jobs. Many employers prefer applicants who have majored in human resources, personnel administration, or industrial and labor relations. Other employers prefer college graduates with a technical or business background or a well-rounded liberal arts education. No evidence in the

*Handbook* indicates that a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty is required for a human resources position, as described by petitioner.

The record does not include any evidence regarding parallel positions in the petitioner's industry or from professional associations regarding an industry standard. Nor does the record include any documentation to support the complexity or uniqueness of the proffered position. The petitioner has, thus, not established the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R.  $\S 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1)$  or (2).

The AAO now turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. As counsel does not address this issue on appeal, it will not be discussed further.

Finally, the AAO turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(iii)(A)(4) – the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Counsel submits a curriculum for a baccalaureate program in nursing. The AAO cannot assume, however, that the additional training that the baccalaureate program provides is solely related to the alleged complexity of the proffered position. Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient to meet the burden of proof in this proceeding. See Matter of Treasure Craft of California, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972). To the extent that they are depicted in the record, the duties do not appear so specialized and complex as to require the highly specialized knowledge associated with a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, in a specific specialty. Therefore, the evidence does not establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The director's April 15, 2003 decision is overturned. The petition is denied.